

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stat s Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	AT	FORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/773,756	02/01/0	1 WEISER		M	
Γ	-			一	EX	AMINER
			HM12/0620			
	JOHN P. HAI	LVONIK			COE.S	
	STE 301				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	806 WEST D	IAMOND AVE				9
	GAITHERSBU	RG MD 2087	8		1651	04
					DATE MAILED:	
						06/20/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

—	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summ ry	09/773,756	WEISER, MARK JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Coe	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims 1-10 are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	ected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on] disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(i)
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	•	
,	and of o.o.	3 (-).
tachment(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Application/Control Number: 09/773,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are currently pending. Please take notice of the election of species requirement beginning on page 2. To be fully responsive, applicant must fulfill this requirement.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to an animal attractant and/or repellant composition, classified in class 424, subclass 545.
 - II. Claim 10, drawn to a method of repelling animals, classified in class 424, subclass545.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a different manner such as the use of topsoil in gardening.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

.....

1

Application/Control Number: 09/773,756

Art Unit: 1651

A) carrier materials and

B) animal products.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic.

An example of a proper response would be as follows: Group I, species A): calcium carbonate, species B): animal urine.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 1651

Page 4

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SDC June 13, 2001

> FRANCISCO PRATS PRIMARY EXAMINER